	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD
7	
8	Thursday, March 16, 2017
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	MARK WOZNIAK
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(The Pledge of Allegiance was
2	recited and a moment of silence was
3	observed.)
4	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
5	MS. REED: Mr. Perry?
6	MR. PERRY: Here.
7	MS. REED: Mr. Rogan?
8	MR. ROGAN: Here.
9	MS. REED: Mr. Evans?
10	MR. EVANS: Here.
11	MS. REED: Mr. Gaughan?
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
13	MS. REED: Mr. Wechsler?
14	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to make a
16	motion to table item 7D.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
19	of those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MR. PERRY: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. EVANS: Aye.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it. So moved. Dispense with the

Do

1 reading of the minutes. Third order, 3A, tax 2 MS. REED: 3 assessor's results report for hearing date held March 1, 2017. 4 5 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 6 7 MS. REED: 3B, check received in the amount of \$90,669.74. That represents 8 9 reimbursement for fire department SAFER 10 grant expenditures. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 12 comments? If not, received and filed. 13 MS. REED: 3C, minutes of the 14 regular meetings of the members of Scranton Housing Authority held January 9 and 15 16 February 6, 2017. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 18 comments? If not, received and filed. 19 any counsel members have announcements at 20 this time? I have a statement that was 21 provided to me by the mayor this afternoon 22 in regards to the snow removal in the city. 23 "Please be advised the city of 24 Scranton is utilizing all available

resources to plow its streets and conduct

25

snow removal. The city will complete snow removal in the downtown area this evening.

All parking garages in the downtown area will continue to offer reduced rates of \$5 for parking until tomorrow at 5 p.m. We ask that those parked downtown this evening move their cars into the parking garages.

Beginning Friday morning, the city will be deploying front-end loaders and tri-axles to its neighborhoods for further snow removal. The city appreciates your patience and cooperation throughout the cleanup of this record snowfall."

MS. REED: Fourth order, citizens participation.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz?

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. First, the snowstorm. I live downtown, so I can only comment on the downtown cleanup, and I must say that I think, considering we had 22 inches of snow, it's just an incredible feat to even get a handle on it. I think DPW's done quite a good job so far.

This morning -- well, last night I

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

watched as all the trucks came and they piled the snow in and they were trucking it out, and they did that all night long.

This morning, when I went to mass at 6:00, unfortunately all the little paths that we had dug to be able to cross the street from, you know, those big mounds of snow, they had all been plowed in. So clambered little hills and went down the side, and coming back from mass, the corner of Linden and Penn what do you see but a DPW truck with a couple guys and they're digging out the fire hydrants. Okay? Which is something I remind everybody whether you're downtown or in the neighborhoods. You need to dig out your fire hydrants. God forbid there should be a fire and you can't find them as quickly. So my compliments to DPW.

However, having said that, most of the taxpayers do not live downtown, like I do, they live in the neighborhoods, and they need to get their roads and their intersections and their alleys and their courts cleaned so they can get out and go to the store and go to the doctor and go to

work. That's where your taxpayers actually live. So keep that in mind.

Also, just keep in mind that as the storm -- as the snow melts and goes into our storm water system, I hope our antiquated infrastructure can take the influx.

I went to the March 10th work session that the city had on its plan for the use of sewer sale proceeds, and, of course, the members of the public did not have the opportunity to comment or to ask questions, although I appreciate being given a copy of the slides at the end. But a lot of issues came up.

I saw in today's paper and on the agenda that \$710,000 in debt service savings are going to be used for road paving. I was surprised that that did not come up as an item of discussion last Friday because I think you need to look hard at how you want to spend that windfall that you're getting on debt savings, especially if you've got a half-million dollar or higher bill for the snowstorm. There may be other things you may need to apportion it differently. That

needs to be discussed with the administration.

Also, I saw no mention of the other nine municipalities and their coordination with storm water management issues. That issue didn't come up at the meeting.

Something that's never been addressed at all -- and I think it's time -- Scranton may be coming out of distressed status in the next three years and it may be on the road to financial stability in a certain sense, but what happens if the school district goes belly up? What's the impact on the city and its financial stability? It's still one city. It may be a separate taxing authority, but what kind of impact is that going to have on your recovery?

MR. EVANS: A lot.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Nobody said anything about that.

MR. EVANS: A lot.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Someone please address that motion, what you think is going to happen, and explain this sentence from

the slides: "Solution is to establish a trust fund to hold the money using an escrow agent to release funds only after satisfactory reforms are made and continuing compliance with agreed upon reforms are demonstrated." This is in regards to the pension plans.

Somebody tell me what are the satisfactory reforms that have to be made and what are the agreed upon reforms? I'm still not clear because I haven't seen any legislation come down on pension reforms.

Mentioning the pensions, whatever happened to the RFP for the third-party administrator? Is that still in the pipeline or did that go down the storm water system?

MR. WECHSLER: No, it's still in the pipeline and very important.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, I'm hope -I'm waiting with bated breath. Just to let
you know, the January controller's report
has not yet been posted to the website.
It's been four weeks. Please get that done.

Kudos to the Times-Tribune for their

Sunday special on outlook 2017, Rebirth of the Downtown, but a series like that should prompt a dialog between the city leadership and the citizens about how you balance the needs of the downtown and the neighborhoods. I remind you that most of the taxpayers live in the neighborhoods and nobody's listening to their needs, that I can see. Thank you.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from Lackawanna County

Commissioners Office. I'll begin this

evening with a few storm-related notes.

MR. WECHSLER: Gerard Hetman?

First, the County of Lackawanna

Transit System, otherwise know as COLTS,
will be operating on a limited schedule for
Friday, Saturday and Monday, March 17, 18
and 20. The list of route changes and
modifications is extensive. Please visit at
www.coltsbus.com, call 570-346-2061 or visit
COLTS Bus on Facebook for more detailed
information on route changes.

Of particular note, the shared ride service will only be servicing dialysis patients until Monday, March 20th. Service

will resume when road conditions permit.

Shared ride users can check the status of their rides by calling 570-963-6795.

Second, with snow on the ground we have our hill at McDade Park open for sleigh riding. The park is open from dawn till dusk seven days a week. Of particular note, we'd like to make sure everybody drives safely and the only attempts to reach the park by traveling when road conditions are safe wherever they're coming from. Please take your time and, again, don't travel to McDade if the roads aren't good wherever you're coming from.

We ask that visitors obey all posted park rules and regulations and for them to bring their own sleigh or toboggan or saucer or whatever they use to go down the sledding hill.

This is the third year we've had it open there. It's engineered to be safe for everyone sledding. A lot of folks used that sledding hill in the past when it was against park rules. We're happy to have it open now with good snow on the ground.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

April 7th.

Third, the Lackawanna County Conservation District has its seedling sale open for orders. There are 12 different tree and plant seedlings available. You can contact Lackawanna County Conservation District at 570-382-3086 for more information and a full list of seedling types. The deadline for orders is Friday,

And last, speaking of good downtown development and events, the Lackawanna County Commissioners' spring blood drive will take place at the Lackawanna County Government Center at the Globe, in the lobby, on Friday, April 21st from 11 a.m. to To make an appointment please call 1-800-RED-CROS or visit www.redcrossblood.org to schedule an appointment. If there's any questions on the blood drive you can call me, I'm the staff person who is in charge of coordinating the blood drive, at 570-963-6743. extension 1872.

Many folks who donated at our blood drive in the fall asked us to do a spring

blood drive, and the Red Cross typically follows up when they do one with the host agency to see if they'd like to do one in about six months to accommodate people who like to follow up. So we're happy to have another blood drive coming to the Globe in downtown Scranton.

That's all we have for this month.

Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Lee Morgan?

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Lee Morgan. The first thing I have here tonight is, you know, I'd like to say that I do appreciate what DPW has done with the city streets, but I have to be very honest and say that they really don't have the equipment they need. Driving around with a ton and a half four-wheel drive, little tiny dump truck, trying to plow city streets is beyond ridiculous, and whoever came up with that plan, I mean, it's really troubling, to be honest. I've driven heavy trucks all my life, so that's, I don't know, close to 40 years, and I just know that they're not

prepared to do the job. And for any city to invest money in those, if you're living in the neighborhoods and you're wondering why you've got a little trail going down your street, it's because the people you have elected haven't bought the right equipment to do the job.

And in regards to the city's distressed status, I think the residents really need to wake up. You know, last week I talked about the Lace Curtain Irish and the Shanty Irish, and that terminology really sticks here, and some people are going to be home tonight saying not Irish. Doesn't really matter what nationality you are. We have a government that's so disconnected from reality.

And, you know, when we talk about leaving distressed status with the pensions in the shape they're in it's almost laughable, and what's happened to the proceeds from the Scranton Sewer Authority sale is extremely troubling. If you watched last week's meeting, I believe the gentleman who stood at this podium was an attorney who

_

spoke about the deal, and if you spend a little time to listen to what he said it was beyond troubling.

So, you know, when people talk about what's going to happen if the Scranton School District goes upside down, believe me, that's not realistic because in my opinion the city and the school district are going to go down.

I just think that for 80 years the residents of this city have picked failed leaders who made a lot of promises and it's all coming to a head, and now we're pretending like the downtown is the salvation of the city and that's not what's occurred here.

What has occurred in the downtown is it wasn't a viable place to do business so they turned it into residential development and they were moving money here. A lot of it was grant money, in my opinion, and a lot of backroom deals were done.

Now, you take a look at the plaza across the street where the city's a conduit for \$3 million to Lackawanna College,

another troubling development, because we continue to erode the tax base, and the citizens are barely hanging on.

And me speaking for myself, I'm fairly disgusted in paying \$700 a week in taxes to my government and not having anything to show for it but a mound of debt. I think that President Trump is on the right road when he's talking about ending a lot of the funding that's going into a lot of different places for economic development and a lot of other things because it's just walking around money for the politicians to spend and spend.

And a lot of the people we elect to our counsel and our mayor, they're not fighting for us, they're fighting for themselves, their own political survival, and we're just caught in the crossfires of what's left. And there's not much left in this city I because everything's been sold and the city's in very, very serious financial trouble, and I think that the greatest thing that can happen is that we'd wake up the day after election day and find

out that non-aligned people did a right-in and won the council seats to begin to turn this city around.

I think there needs to be an investigation into how the city spent its money for the last 30 years, to try to get your mind around, I don't know, the pool house at Nay Aug, the greenhouse, the bridge, the tree house. You know, instead of worrying about the city's bridges and infrastructure, all the money was wasted on the sale of the golf course. Just, you know, the South Side sports complex. It's just amazing, you know, that they treated us like Shanty Irish because we've allowed it to happen. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: Fifth Order, 5A, motions.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, thank you. What a week. All right. Well, I didn't know how to start this but I guess we'll go in order. Let's start with Friday. Friday we had a meeting on how the administration -- they finally gave council their plan, how they're

going to allocating the funds from the sale of the sewer authority. Overall, I was very happy with how the meeting turned out, the form of meeting and the information. It was a very good give and take. I got a lot more information than I had going into it.

That being said, it was a beginning and that's all it is. It's a beginning of a huge step for our city. There's going to be many more questions that we're going to have than were answered on Friday, and for me to tell you right now that I'm an absolute expert of everything that we heard on Friday would definitely not be true.

But what I want to tell you right now is I want to go over a couple key highlights of what was there in case you didn't read the paper or you weren't able to attend the meeting.

The allocation of the money is going to go as follows per the initial plan, which is fluid at best. Nothing is -- absolutely nothing is set in stone. As a matter of fact, many of my colleagues started -- that day, after the meeting, started to talk

about things we want to do a little differently and making some amendments and putting some really strong markers in there that we felt important.

Twenty-seven point nine million was going to be used for debt reduction. That's already been taken care of. That was written into the 2017 budget.

There was also going to be \$13.4 million used to further reduce high interest rate debts, which as you can see there's something on the agenda right now that it's already paying dividends. We already have \$700,000 to use. As it is right now it's allocated for paving, but again, it's a fluid environment and maybe all \$700,000 isn't the best use for that. But that will be up for us to decide and talk over the next couple weeks.

We also have \$24.8 million allocated to the pension, \$4.6 million of that -- and this, I believe, is one of the more important parts of the plan -- is going to be allocated to use to lower the return assumption on the rate for our pension

plans. For too long we were under the assumption that the plan was going to return eight percent. The plans haven't returned eight percent in a long, long time.

Everybody knows the state of the market and what happens and how that negatively affects us. When we overshot our assumption rate, our return rate, that negatively affects our MMO at the end of the year. Now we have to -- we owe more money into that that we didn't anticipate and didn't have money set aside for. So that \$4.6 million is going to buy back some of that anticipated return rate and bring that back down.

The initial goal is to get it from 8 percent to 7.75 percent, and I know a lot of my colleagues and I want, if not all, want to get that even down further. We want to get that down to more of a national average of 7.5 to 7.25, and that's something we can talk about how we want to do that going forward.

There's going to be some, also, money set aside, \$2 million, for capital improvements, \$500,000 for economic

development, and \$500,000 for storm water funding.

Now, I know storm water funding is an absolute hot topic, and that's something that we talked about over and over. Is that enough money to be put in? Honestly, I don't know who has the answer to that because we -- as I believe Councilman Evans said in caucus, we're on the bleeding edge of storm water management and what that entails and what that's going to cost.

But these are discussions that we started and we continue to start, and I know this board has nothing but the best intentions to keep everybody informed on a step by step basis what we have going on.

We had a very, very, very cold parade weekend. I was happy to see as many people come out as they did but, my goodness, I can't remember it being that cold. We got, I think, a day break. Monday was nice, and then Stella hit us. Let me tell you, I haven't shoveled so much snow, I don't think, in my life, as all you guys did. What a heartache it was on our city.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I want to tell you, between DPW, the police and fire being out there on endless hours, little to no sleep and not seeing their families, they put it to it. They really did. They gave it hell.

I've been speaking with officials from Wilkes-Barre, and as you guys may or my not know, I spend a lot of time at work in Hazleton and I speak to Hazleton officials quite often, and one of the things that they were very impressed and, dare I say, jealous of was our ability to have a co-op program with ABM with the \$5 parking. What a Godsend that was. If anybody's been around the square in Wilkes-Barre, downtown Hazleton, they cannot get anything going on right now. They just did not have anywhere to put their cars. And it's just -- for as bad as we may think we have it, we definitely are looked upon to the region as taking care of business.

Now, are there streets that are just impassable? Absolutely. It took me an extra 20 minutes to get the last 15 feet into my house last night when I pulled into

my side street. You know, what are you going to do? It's the biggest storm that we've had on record since the turn of the last century. Not this past one that just happened. So there's going to be those pains. When I tell you that they've done absolutely everything they could to make it easiest for us as they could, I honestly mean that.

Now, again, if you're frustrated with the condition, that's okay. That's acceptable. But we're not done. We're out there, we're working every day. When I say we, I don't me. I mean the DPW workers.

I think Mr. Morgan brought up a very good point about the equipment. They didn't have the equipment they needed. How could we anticipate having a storm of 130 years occur? It's just not -- it's not logical to anticipate that happening. But they did the best they could with the equipment they have and I just want to thank them.

I believe that's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.

Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: I'd like to start off by wishing everyone a happy Saint Patrick's Day tomorrow. I forgot to wear my green tonight. I only own one green shirt, so I actually didn't forget. It's cued up for tomorrow.

I also want to wish my mom a happy birthday. Her birthday is Saint Patrick's Day. So I want to wish her a happy birthday tomorrow.

Mr. Perry touched on a lot of the things I want to talk about as well. Our meeting last Friday was very informative but, as was mentioned, we still have a lot to learn about this plan. As far as the actual hard allocation numbers are, you know, I don't have a problem with how the money is being allocated. My concern is that actually it's used for those purposes and nothing else. I know speakers bring up and lots of residents bring up the golf course sale under Mayor Doherty that was supposed to be earmarked for certain projects and programs, which was not. It

was chipped away at, chipped away at and the money was gone.

I think our first priority is to make sure that that doesn't happen with any of these funds. That whatever Council decides is the best way to move forward, that that's set in stone and that a future council or a future mayor can't come in and decide they want to use it for a pet project or for something other than, you know, the long-term health of the city.

A couple of the concerns I do have about the plan, the biggest one is regarding the pensions, and I know my colleagues have spoken at length about reforming pensions, and obviously there are numerous changes that need to be made and they've been discussed at length.

But one of the concerns that I have is that the money that's allocated to go into the pension fund, it needs to actually go into the pension fund. I understand there were a number of reforms that were negotiated and that are in the works and they have to happen. There's no question

about that. But this money, there can't be any outs. They can't decide in two years well, we're not going to put this money in the pension fund. We're going to use it for road paving, which I love road paving, but the pension funds need to get shored up. So that's one of my top priorities, making sure each one of these allocations that we approve is completely ironclad and cannot be changed in the future because the money needs to be used in a way that's going to benefit the city in the long term.

As was mentioned, paying off the debt from 2006 on is a huge plus, and it's something I spoke about numerous times on how I wanted to see the money utilized. I did ask at the meeting, because some of this debt does have dedicated millage, court-imposed millage, I did ask at the meeting if that millage would sunset.

Unfortunately, PEL said no. That was my concern when it was passed in the first place. I was on council when that was approved. I voted against it, and that was the exact reason why.

I said when the debt's paid off we'd almost guarantee the millage doesn't sunset, which it's supposed to. That's one major concern I have, that that millage should go away when the debt goes away. That's why it was approved.

Regarding infrastructure, there's no question that money needs to be put into infrastructure in the city, particularly our roads. There's no question that the roads in the city of Scranton are in bad shape.

They've gotten much better over the last few years, we've allocated more and more money, but we still have a long way to go.

And storm water as well, particularly areas on East Mountain and West Mountain and areas that have large hills into a valley. You can pave that road, but if there's a storm water problem it makes no sense to pave it because the water's just going to erode the pavement that all that money was spent on.

So we definitely do need to focus on the long term with all this money and that's my priority, is to dispense it the way that

gets the most bang for the buck for the taxpayers not only today but tomorrow and for decades to come.

Regarding the snow storm, I want to first start off by thanking our DPW, police, fire, PennDOT, EMS, everyone that was essential personnel, the state police that were out in our neighborhoods and working in terrible conditions while the majority of us were snowed in our house and trying to plow out and shovel our way out. So I want to thank them for all their hard work. I know some of our guys at the DPW have worked extremely long shifts and I can't imagine how tired they are.

But I will say that in the last two days I probably had more calls, e-mails and Facebook messages than any two-day period that I've been on city council. Requests particularly from residents in West Scranton and South Scranton that were very upset. They were snowed in, not able to get out to get to work. And that's certainly no fault of our DPW. They were out there working as hard as they can.

There are equipment issues which were mentioned. From talking to the mayor earlier today, one of the problems that the city ran into is we have two sets of plows. We have the larger plows that are obviously more powerful and can handle this kind of snowstorm, and the smaller plows which can't handle two feet of snow. The larger plows were operational and fine in the areas where they could go, but on narrow streets and courts those larger plows simply can't fit. They're too wide. So that's where a lot of the problems were and still are in the city.

As Councilman Wechsler mentioned, teams will be going out into the neighborhoods, I believe starting tonight or tomorrow, to clear up a lot of these narrow roads and courts, and I believe the way they're going to do it is using heavy equipment and going through and then at the end having trucks to scoop the snow and hall off for lot of these courts and smaller streets.

I know I was talking on Facebook with a number of people today that had to

miss work for a third straight day. I had to miss work for two days. Luckily I was able to get out this morning. But the improvement in my neighborhood from this morning to this afternoon was quite dramatic. I can tell our crews are out there working hard where they can work with the equipment.

But I do think following this storm, obviously not this week or next week, that we do need to have a meeting regarding our plans. I know Councilman Gaughan mentioned alternate side parking before regarding snowstorms. That's been mentioned by a lot of people in the public. There needs to be a better plan in place.

I understand this is a hundred-year storm and I don't know if you can plan for a hundred-year storm fully, but we need to do a better job in the future. I know a lot of people lost a lot of money and had to use sick days, had to use personal days, and some employees that work hourly weren't able to even do that. So we need to make sure we're doing the best job we possibly can for

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the residents.

That is all for now. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. On our meeting last week relative to the sewer proceeds, I do generally concur with what was discussed last Friday as relates to the spending of the sewer proceeds. pleased to hear that even more debt was targeted to be paid off. As I said at the meeting relative to debt, during any discussions on this issue I have been consistently a debt reduction hawk. So that was a very pleasant surprise, to see more debt being paid off. I've been telegraphing that to anyone as well as my opinion on pension reform, and it was nice that actually some of the people in charge were paying attention.

The most important aspect now is to ensure those reforms are discussed -- that we discussed are guaranteed and part of any legislation that is passed by this body. We can't give in an inch on that requirement.

So we're going to continue to discuss more reforms, the reforms that were discussed at the meeting. Some were discussed earlier by Councilman Perry.

The very important one is the reduction in the rate of return. We're talking about getting it down to 7.75 initially and getting more money back from escrow money and getting it down to 7.50.

That's our goal and we shouldn't stop there. If we have an opportunity -- if we overachieve and over perform we can get some more money to go even lower in the future, which would basically be a positive, positive thing for our long term projections on the pension.

On the snow removal, I sort of don't want to touch this with a ten-foot pole, but I'll be very, very brief. When I look at snow removal -- because I try to -- if I was going to rate it I would put it in three categories: planning, implementation and effort. We weren't really privy to the plans. It was hard to make a comment on the plan and how it was implemented, so I'm

going to leave that alone for now. Make it an incomplete.

I do agree with Councilman Rogan. I think we need to do a postmortem on this, talk about it when it's all done and come up with an ironclad plan for the downtown and the neighborhoods so some of the situations that happened don't repeat themselves. But we all understand how devastating this storm was.

But I will say on effort, many people worked long hours. Again, the DPW, the police, the fire, the contractors, numerous city officials. Even though the results may not totally show, the effort was there. So I'll give all those involved an A for effort because they really, really did work hard. I give them all the credit for that.

For now, that's about all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. First of all, just an update. Proposals are going to be opened in city council chambers

Monday, April 3rd at 10 a.m. for the city of Scranton telecommunications review. The city is seeking proposals to select a company to complete a review of the city's existing communication systems, reviewing the local long distance data and cellular communications, and identifying cost-saving opportunities and overall short and long term communication strategies from a carrier standpoint. I do believe this is a good effort on the administration's part. I think there are a number of different savings to be had there.

Also, the bids were received and a contract was awarded the Marvin-Dutch Gap Little League improvement project. I know a lot of people in that area were curious about that. So good news there.

We've been talking a lot about updating our zoning ordinances here in the city for a number of different reasons, and we did receive a letter from Mary Liz Donato, the regional planning manager for the Lackawanna County Department of Planning and Economic Development. She is offering

technical assistance to the Scranton
Abingtons Planning Association for the
implementation of the SAPA Regional Plan and
updating the local zoning ordinances.

They're going to spearhead an effort to assist the communities with the preparation of a funding application to the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development for those communities who choose to update their zoning ordinances.

Obviously the city of Scranton is going to be one of those communities.

Each community is going to control its own local zoning ordinances and make its own decisions throughout the updating process. So this won't be something that SAPA will be in charge of. There is going to be a meeting with all of these players sometime in April. So we'll give you an update on that after the meeting has taken place.

On my weekly quest to receive information from the Scranton Sewer Authority and the fees from the Scranton Sewer Authority deal, I still have not

received any answers on the questions that I've posed. I'm not sure how long this is going to take, but this information should be readily available. These documents should be readily available for any councilman to look at and anyone from the public. I'm not sure why it's taking this long. I have requested invoices, what the hourly rates were for the line of attorney's that have made out, quite frankly, like bandits on this deal, and I'm still waiting for answers. Hopefully I will get those by next week.

Also, as a gentleman had mentioned last week -- and he made a good point about requesting that the attorney-client privilege be waived -- I had asked back on January 10th for copies for all correspondence between the Scranton Sewer Authority and the law firms and consultants who worked on the deal, and I was told by the Scranton Sewer Authority executive director that they cannot do that because of the attorney-client privilege. So I will be making a request this week that that

attorney-client privilege be waived.

Regard the meeting last Friday about how we're going to spend the proceeds from the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority, I was disappointed during the meeting when I asked where they came up with putting aside \$500,000 for storm water management. I asked how they came up with that figure -- do they use a formula, is it an estimate -- and I got a bunch blank looks on everybody's faces. I think they pulled that number from the sky.

What I've been saying all along is that this whole thing has been done backwards. The mayor and his administration and the sewer authority sold off our last asset without first knowing what storm water management was going to cost us. Why they did that, I still have no clue. It makes no sense. So without the city of Scranton knowing how much storm water management is going to cost, how do we know if \$500,000 is going to be enough?

Eventually, from everything that I can see, that people who are going to bear

the burden of the rest of this cost, whatever it may be, are the taxpayers and the ratepayers of this city. So something that we have on our agenda tonight is taking \$700,000 and putting it into paving that's leftover money from when we paid off some debt.

I would ask my colleagues -- I know this is going to be going on for three readings -- that we look into putting some of that money into storm water management because I don't think \$500,000 is going to be enough. To me, that is the most financially responsible thing to do at this point.

That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Gaughan. As Mr. Perry stated, it was quite a week. From two weeks before having a tornado to freezing at the Saint Patrick's Day Parade to having the record blizzard, record snowfall that anyone could remember.

I would like to congratulate also the Department of Public Works, our EMS and fire and police, and everyone that was out

there trying to make the best of a bad situation.

I'd also like to congratulate the neighbors and the people who make our neighborhoods so strong. I read many instances where people came together and worked together to clean up sidewalks, to help the elderly, to help the disabled. A lot of people came to rescue -- there was a lot of unsung heros out there.

As we talk about this storm, the one thing you have to realize -- and we talked a little bit about this in caucus -- my son is 30 years old and he never saw a storm like this. Not that he had to work in, anyway. We have a lot of younger residents in our city. We have a lot of newer residents to our city who have never seen any snowfall before, let alone 22 inches. As we talked about, we are going to have to come up with some type of education plan to let people know that this is a possibility in our area. Going around I heard a lot of people didn't have snow shovels, they didn't have Quik-Jo, they just were not prepared for this storm,

and it is something that we are going to have to take a look at. We all heard complaints about one section in the city of Scranton where all the blocks were plowed except one. It makes no sense. It's very, very frustrating for someone to look across the street and see the street was plowed and your street's not plowed. And I can understand that frustration. I can't address it. I don't know why that happened.

One thing we have to remember when we talk about equipment, our DPW equipment is used year-round. We have very little specific snow removal equipment. The smaller pickups are used during the summer at the parks and other things like that. So as we go through this we may want to look at specific -- either renting or contracting out specific snowplowing equipment. It is something that we're going to have to take a look at.

When I was a kid we had snow emergency routes posted all over the city.

I live on East Mountain, and there always was a sign. But when I was a kid it snowed

all the time. And I sound like I'm a hundred yeas old, but we had snowstorms from November until the end of March, beginning of April. People were more accustomed to dealing with snow back in the '70s. Putting chains on their cars, buying snow tires, having ashes to put out. That's not our society right now. We don't handle snow well. We're not prepared for snow. We don't see it that much. So it is something that we're going to have to address as education, just like we do with a lot of other things in our city.

But I do want to congratulate everyone who came out and helped their neighbors, helped their family. My neighbors are awesome. I appreciate what they did for me. It only cost me fruitcake and a kolachi to get the snowplowing done around my house. So that was very good.

In regards to the garbage, there will not be any more garbage pick up this week. So there won't be any recycling or any garbage pick up. We're not sure what recycling the DPW is going to start off on

Monday. I would suggest that they start off with the blue cans again because that's what everybody had ready and seems what causes the most problems for people in terms of you can hold paper a little longer than you can the plastic recyclables. So we'll ask Mrs. Reed to check with Director Gallagher tomorrow and see what the plan is as far as recycling startup.

So if you haven't put your garbage out, don't put your garbage out. It's only going to get blown away. I've seen some cans in the street right now. If you have it out, bring it back in and put it out on your normal day next week.

Just to touch base a little bit on our meeting last week, as everyone said we did get a lot of information, but also as was said, it is just the introduction release of information from the administration and it's something for us to digest. Tonight we were scheduled to hear from attorney Larry Durkin, who is the solicitor for the pension boards, and also from members of the pension boards with some

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ideas on what reforms they would like to see. So this is going to have to be a give and take amongst all the interested parties.

I think the one thing that we seem to be forgetting, though, is that the sale of the sewer authority was part of our recovery plan, and part of the recovery plan, main leg of the recovery plan, was funding for pensions, limiting debt and funding the pensions.

As we talked about before, as we look at the money and how it's allotted, the bottom line is at this time right now before the escrows come back there's about \$3 million that are available to do capital improvements, economic development and storm water management studies. So as we talked about here, we really only have \$3 million to do anything with. So if we put more money into storm water, that will either have to come out of economic development or out of the capital program. That's at this So we kind of have to massage where we want to go with the money. But it is very important to remember that the proceeds

from this sale, basically most of it was intended to ease the pension debt. We are going to put some constraints on that and some plans on how to spend the money to make sure that we don't fall back into a bad situation like we are in now, but overall the amount -- how much money we have is kind of set and it's getting narrowed down to where it can go. The question is when can it go there.

I do want to congratulate the organizers of the Saint Patrick's Day Parade on Saturday. They could have made it a little warmer. I feel bad. They put so much work into it. But there weren't a lot of people turned out. People really do enjoy the Saint Patrick's Day Parade. It was the fastest that I ever walked it, it was very quick through the city, and I hope they have better luck next year.

I also would like to congratulate
the Society of Irish Women, who are having
their dinner tomorrow evening. They work
very hard and they are going to have Hillary
Clinton come to this meeting. If you say

anything about Mrs. Clinton, she does get an opinion out of everyone. We're happy to have her here. I congratulate the Society of Irish Women for having somebody like that come to our meeting. That's all I have.

MS. REED: 5B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS to
REALLOCATE A PORTION OF DEBT SERVICES MONEY
TO BE USED FOR PAVING ONLY AS SAID MONEY HAS
BEEN REFUNDED TO THE CITY AND IS NO LONGER
NEEDED FOR PAYMENT OF THE MARCH INSTALLMENT
DUE ON THE 2012 SERIES A AND B BONDS DUE TO
THE DEFEASANCE OF SAID BONDS WITH SEWER
PROCEEDS.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time I'll entertain a motion item 5B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question, just as I mentioned in my comments, I'm going to ask that we take a closer look at spending these funds all on paving. Obviously

everybody knows that we can use millions and millions of dollars in paving. However, I am concerned about storm water management that is coming down the pike very soon.

Quite frankly, it is poor planning on the city's part in regards to storm water management. We just got a timeline of the storm water meeting that happened last week and there's a lot of things in here that concern me. The city currently has little capacity to do any storm water system maintenance work. Where putting \$500,000 aside out of 40-some-odd million dollars and we don't know how much it cost. So it just makes no sense to me.

So I think we need to take a closer look at this and maybe take a portion of this \$700,000 and put it aside for storm water management.

That is all I have. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, as we discussed in caucus, I also believe that there may be funds used for the storm that we just had, and I think that's something we need to discuss. So I think over the next

three weeks we have an opportunity to talk to the administration, B.A. Bulzoni, to see what his thoughts are, because obviously when this legislation was written the storm that we just had was not part of it and it's going to be very costly to pay for the snow removal and all the plowing that's been done and yet to be done, as well as the storm water issue. I think that obviously always should be top on our list of things we have to deal with.

So I'm looking forward to a nice conversation with the administration and B.A. Bulzoni and see if we can come to some resolution, see if paving is the right way to go or if there's some other options with this money.

MR. ROGAN: I would agree with

Councilman Evans' point regarding the storm.

At this point we still don't know what the total cost of the cleanup is. It's obviously going to be much higher than was budgeted. Nobody expected to get close to 30 inches of snow. So I agree on checking with the business administrator if this

money is needed to be held back for the unexpected snow removal. If it is not needed for that, though, I would prefer to leave it in for paving. As I stated earlier, we have a long way to go as far as paving our roads go and, again, this would be another small step in the right direction.

MR. WECHSLER: I have to agree with Councilman Rogan. The beauty of this type of money as applies to paving is it can be used in neighborhoods that aren't normally able to get paving. We saw this last year that we paved streets. For lack of a better word, people who aren't poor neighborhoods. We've been able to pave in those departments and those areas. For me, the timeline of this money in terms of the paving, this might be available to us before we're finished with the storm water management study.

As we go through the city we just hear so many people my road hasn't been touched, my road hasn't been paved, and the \$700,000 would go a long way for those

people who pay their taxes, pay high taxes, and this would be something that they would get an immediate impact. I know storm water is going to affect everyone, but I think maybe in this instance that would take a look at how the cost of the snow removal is and balance it out with storm water management requirements.

But I think this is a quick push, a quick influx of paving to areas that haven't seen it in many, many years.

MR. PERRY: On the question, and as it states, this is just going to be added to money that's already allocated for road paving. So, you know, I do think that we do have to do our due diligence to make sure we don't drain any extra money out of the general fund from this massive storm and this cleanup. I do understand that with the state of emergency that was declared there could be some possible monies coming back to us for costs that we incurred during the storm, but that hasn't been guaranteed yet. So I do think it would be prudent for us just to do our due diligence and research

this with the business administrator, among others, to make sure that this money isn't needed for storm cleanup before we go ahead and allocate the whole thing to paving, because then that's just going to come out of our general fund later and do detriment to us.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it. So moved.

MS. REED: 5C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND
EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION AND, IF
SUCCESSFUL, TO ENTER INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT
AND ACCEPT FUNDING THROUGH THE KEYSTONE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION PLANNING GRANT FROM
THE PENNSYLVANIA HISTORICAL AND MUSEUM
COMMISSION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$25,000 TO
COMPLETE A FACILITY ASSESSMENT ON THE

SCRANTON MUNICIPAL BUILDING. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: At this time we'll 3 entertain a motion that item 5C be 4 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: 5 So moved MR. EVANS: Second. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 8 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question, the 9 grant amount for this is \$25,000, and the 10 city is going to have to provide a match of 11 \$32,000. So, Mrs. Reed, if we can find out 12 before next week what line item that \$32,000 13 is coming out of. I'm assuming it's capital 14 expenditures and the Bureau of Building budget, but I just want to double check on 15 16 that. Thank you. 17 MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 18 19 MR. PERRY: Aye. 20 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 22 MR. EVANS: Aye. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 24 ayes have it. So moved. 25 MS. REED: 5D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A

1	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
2	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND
3	EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION AND, IF
4	SUCCESSFUL, TO ENTER INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT
5	AND ACCEPT THE FUNDING THROUGH THE
6	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,
7	LOCAL STORM WATER BMP IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM
8	AWARDED IN THE AMOUNT OF \$197,325 TO ADDRESS
9	THE WATER QUALITY PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH
10	URBAN STORM WATER RUNOFF IN THE LACKAWANNA
11	RIVER.
12	MR. WECHSLER: At this time I'll
13	entertain a motion that item 5D be
14	introduced into its proper committee.
15	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
16	MR. EVANS: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
18	those in favor of introduction signify by
19	saying aye.
20	MR. PERRY: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
23	MR. EVANS: Aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it. So moved.

MS. REED: 5E. FOR INTRODUCTION - a 1 RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF ROBERT J. 2 3 PALMITESSA, 730 NORTH LINCOLN AVENUE, SCRANTON. PENNSYLVANIA 18504 AS A MEMBER OF 4 THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF 5 SCRANTON. MR. PALMITESSA WILL FILL THE 6 7 UNEXPIRED TERM OF STEVEN KOCHIS, WHO RESIGNED ON FEBRUARY 9, 2017. 8 9 Mr. PALMITESSA'S TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 15, 2019. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: At this time I'll 12 entertain a motion that item 5E be 13 introduced into its proper committee. 14 MR. ROGAN: So move. MR. EVANS: 15 Second. 16 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 17 MR. ROGAN: I'd just like to say I'm 18 pleased to be able to vote in the affirmative for Mr. Palmitessa. He's a 19 20 neighbor of mine. He lives about a block 21 and a half away. He's a great guy and he's 22 a great asset to the zoning board. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Actually Mr. 24 Palmitessa really has been serving in this 25 role since the absence of Mr. Kochis and

1 he's done a good job there and I 2 congratulate him. 3 All those in favor signify by saying 4 aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it. So moved. 11 MS. REED: Sixth order. 6A -12 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 93, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR 13 14 AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE A DEED OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT-OF-WAY 15 GRANTING TO THE PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER 16 17 COMPANY THE EASEMENT, RIGHT-OF-WAY AND 18 RIGHTS AS ARE SET FORTH BELOW WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPERTY, WHICH DEED of EASEMENT AND 19 20 RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL BE IN THE FORM AS 21 ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT 1. 22 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 23 by title of item 6A. What is your pleasure? 24 MR. ROGAN: I move that item 6A pass 25 reading by title.

MR. EVANS: Second. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 3 those in favor signify by saying aye. 4 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 9 ayes have it. So moved. MS. REED: Seventh order- 7A FOR 10 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 11 12 SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 124, 2017 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE 13 14 SUBMISSION OF A GRANT APPLICATION by THE CITY OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR 15 FUNDING THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND 16 17 SECURITY PROGRAM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$45,000 18 AND, IF SUCCESSFUL, ACCEPT AND DISBURSE THE GRANT FUNDS TO ENABLE THE SCRANTON POLICE 19 20 DEPARTMENT TO PREPARE FOR AND RESPOND TO 21 COMPLEX COORDINATED TERRORIST ATTACKS. 22 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 23 recommendation of the chairperson for the 24 committee on public safety? 25 MR. PERRY: As chairperson for the

	56
1	committee on public safety I recommend final
2	passage of item 7A.
3	MR. ROGAN: Second.
4	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
5	Roll call, please.
6	MS. REED: Mr. Perry?
7	MR. PERRY: Yes.
8	MS. REED; Mr. Rogan?
9	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
10	MS. REED: Mr. Evans?
11	MR. EVANS: Yes.
12	MS. REED: Mr. Gaughan?
13	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
14	MS. REED: Mr. Wechsler?
15	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
16	declare item 7A legally and lawfully
17	adopted.
18	MS. REED: 7B FOR CONSIDERATION BY
19	THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - FOR
20	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 125, 2017 -
21	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
22	CITY OFFICIALS TO ENTER INTO A LOAN TO GRANT
23	AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN/GRANT FROM the
24	CITY OF SCRANTON BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
25	LOAN/GRANT PROGRAM FOR PROJECT NO. AS NOTED

1 IN AN AMOUNT NOT to EXCEED \$40,000 TO ANOTHER TIME RESTAURANT, LLC, (DBA AV 2 RESTAURANT) TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT. 3 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 4 5 recommendation of the chairperson of the Committee on Community Development? 6 As chair for the 7 MR. ROGAN: 8 Committee on Community Development I 9 recommend final passage of item 7B. MR. EVANS: Second. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 12 MR. EVANS: On the question, I tend 13 to chastise our OECD department occasionally 14 because they don't focus enough, in my opinion, on economic development. This is a 15 16 This is a great restaurant in Moosic 17 that's moving to Scranton. They're going to 18 be highly successful on Penn Avenue in 19 downtown, and this is what -- the type of 20 stuff we need to be doing more of. Roll call, please. 21 MR. WECHSLER: 22 MS. REED: Mr. Perry? 23 MR. PERRY: Yes 24 MS. REED: Mr. Rogan? 25 MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. REED: Mr. Evans? 1 MR. EVANS: Yes. 2 3 MS. REED: Mr. Gaughan? 4 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. REED: Mr. Wechsler? 5 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 6 7 declare item 7B legally and lawfully 8 adopted. 9 MS. REED: 7C FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - FOR 10 11 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 126, 2017 -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 12 CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A 13 14 GRANT FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE CAPITAL PROGRAM THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH OF 15 PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE BUDGET IN THE 16 17 AMOUNT OF THREE MILLION (\$3,000,000) 18 DOLLARS; ACCEPTING AND DISBURSING THE GRANT IF THE APPLICATION IS SUCCESSFUL; AND 19 COORDINATE THE USE OF GRANT FUNDS WITH 20 21 LACKAWANNA COLLEGE LOCATED AT 501 VINE STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18509, FOR 22 THE PROJECT TO BE NAMED "COMMONS AT 409" 23 24 LOCATED AT 409 ADAMS AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18510. 25

1	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
2	recommendation of the chairperson for the
3	Committee on Community Development?
4	MR. ROGAN: As chair for the
5	Committee on Community Development I
6	recommend final passage of item 7C.
7	MR. EVANS: Second.
8	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
9	Roll call wall, please.
10	MS. REED: Mr. Perry?
11	MR. PERRY: Yes.
12	MS. REED: Mr. Rogan?
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. REED: Mr. Evans?
15	MR. EVANS: Yes.
16	MS. REED: Mr. Gaughan?
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
18	MS. REED: Mr. Wechsler?
19	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
20	declare item 7C legally and lawfully
21	adopted.
22	MS REED: 7D. This item has been
23	tabled.
24	MR. WECHSLER: If there's no further
25	business I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
	II

I hereby certify that I attended the foregoing

proceeding, took stenographic notes of the same, that the foregoing, consisting of 60 pages, is a true and

correct copy, done to the best of my ability, of same

and the whole thereof.

Mark Wozniak Official Court Reporter